IBJECT:	(Optional) Personal History Vigi	ie t tes					
ROM:					NO S		
	e Artisalities inside pursues, and	© €CENŞO	ATE FORWAIDER	OFFICERS INSTARS	COMMENTS (Number such Comments to New from the strong company strong such suggests and buch suggests		
	Face of General Counse	1	may	Ħŧ		ST.	
2.					I. to 3.: Agree with con- clusion but believe it would		
3. Li 78	aison Division/OLL 02 Headquarters				be more responsive to HPSCI request to offer to prepare general unclassified summary	OF	
					of the typical features of these vignettes.		
5.							
6.						1	
7			+				
9.							
0.							
						-	
3.							
15.							

14 MAR 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Liaison Division, Office of Legislative Liaison	25 X 1
FROM:	Deputy Director of Personnel for Special Programs	25 X 1
SIR TECT.	Personal History Vignettes	

Your Memo dated 8 February 1984; same subject

In conjunction with the HPSCI's request that certain Personal History Vignettes be reviewed for declassification, the documents were forwarded through the DA/Office of Information Services to the DO/Information Management Staff for review and recommendations. The recommendation from IMS is that the documents remain classified. As you can see from IMS' response, which is attached, sanitization would result in relatively meaningless data being provided to HPSCI.

Attachments: a/s

cc: D/OP

REFERENCE:

This document becomes unclassified when detached from attachments.

25X1



CONFIDENTIAL

9 March 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:

25X1

Office of Personnel

FROM:

25X1

C/IMS/FPLG

SUBJECT:

Review of Personal History Vignettes for

House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence

- l. I have attached for your information the report submitted to me by the FPLG officers who reviewed the personal history vignettes that originally were prepared for HPSCI. Along with that report are the vignettes and all other material that was sent to this office.
- 2. I concur with the recommendations of the reviewers. Even in a sanitized state, the information in these vignettes would provide a pattern of activities for CIA wives that could identify others in similar situations who are currently with their spouses on assignment overseas. Such identification could lead to any number of actions that might be taken against an individual, not excluding bodily harm. It is, therefore, recommended that these vignettes remain classified.

·

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

9 March 1984

memorandum for:	25 X 1
Chief, Freedom, Privacy and Litigation Group	
	25X1
FROM : Chief, FPLG/Appeals and Litigation Branch	23/1
Chief, FPLG/Appeals and Ditigation Blanch	
SUBJECT: HPSCI Request for Declassification Review of Personal History Vignettes	
l. Per your request and I reviewed the vignettes and the proposed deletions as marked by Classification Review Division, DA. Even though we made more deletions, I have reservations about releasing any of the information.	25X1
2. Do the CIA wives know their information will be released? There is much personal information in the vignettes.	
3. I believe people on the outside (non-Agency) will recognize the posts (Stations/Bases) the wives are talking about even after the marked sanitizations are made.	
4. The detailed modus operandi of CIA wives sets them apart from other wives. The disgorgement of so much information will surely make it easier to identify a CIA wife in the future. One could readily prepare a profile or composite of a typical CIA wife with these vignettes in hand.	
	25 X 1
5. I suggest CI Staff, and perhaps Central Cover Staff, also look at these materials prior to any release.	
	25X ⁻
Attachment:	
Personal History Vignettes	

ADMIN-INTERNAL USE ONLY When Separated From Atts

CONFIDENTIAL